

# MODULAR REPRESENTATIONS ARISING FROM SELF-DUAL $\ell$ -ADIC REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE GROUPS

ALICE SILVERBERG AND YURI ZARHIN

Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of a symplectic group  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2d}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ . Despite the fact ([3]) that  $G$  can fail to be conjugate in  $\mathrm{GL}_{2d}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$  to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2d}(\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ , we prove that it can nevertheless be embedded in  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2d}(\mathbf{F}_\ell)$  in such a way that the characteristic polynomials are preserved (mod  $\ell$ ), as long as  $\ell > 3$ .

We start with the following “rigidity” result, which is in the spirit of similar results by Minkowski and Serre.

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose  $\ell$  is a prime number, and  $K$  is a discrete valuation field of characteristic zero and residue characteristic  $\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the valuation ring and  $\lambda$  the maximal ideal. Let  $e$  denote the ramification index of  $K$  (i.e.,  $\ell\mathcal{O} = \lambda^e$ ), and suppose  $2e < \ell - 1$ . Suppose  $S$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module of finite rank,  $A$  is an automorphism of  $S$  of finite order, and  $(A - 1)^2 \in \lambda \mathrm{End}(S)$ . Then  $A = 1$ .*

*Proof.* This follows directly from Theorem 6.2 of [2] with  $n = \ell$  and  $k = 2e$ .  $\square$

Note that the hypothesis  $2e < \ell - 1$  is satisfied if  $e = 1$  and  $\ell \geq 5$ . Next we state our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $\ell$  is a prime number, and  $K$  is a discrete valuation field of characteristic zero and residue characteristic  $\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the valuation ring, let  $\lambda$  denote its maximal ideal, and let  $k$  denote the residue field  $\mathcal{O}/\lambda$ . Let  $e$  denote the ramification index of  $K$ , and suppose  $2e < \ell - 1$ . Suppose  $V$  is a  $K$ -vector space of finite dimension  $N$ , suppose  $f : V \times V \rightarrow K$  is a nondegenerate alternating (respectively, symmetric)  $K$ -bilinear form, suppose  $G$  is a finite group, and suppose*

$$\rho : G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_K(V, f)$$

*is a faithful representation of  $G$  on  $V$  that preserves the form  $f$ . Then there exist a nondegenerate alternating (respectively, symmetric)  $k$ -valued  $k$ -bilinear form  $f_0$  on  $k^N$ , and a faithful representation*

$$\bar{\rho} : G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_k(k^N, f_0),$$

*such that for every  $g \in G$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $\bar{\rho}(g)$  is the reduction modulo  $\lambda$  of the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho(g)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $S$  is a  $G$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice in  $V$ , let

$$S^* = \{x \in V : f(x, S) \subseteq \mathcal{O}\}.$$

Fix a  $G$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice  $S$  in  $V$ . Let  $\pi$  denote a uniformizer for  $\mathcal{O}$ . Multiplying  $f$  by an integral power of  $\pi$  if necessary, we may assume that  $f(S, S) = \mathcal{O}$ . Then  $S \subseteq S^*$ . Let  $S_0 = S$  and let

$$S_{i+1} = S_i + (\pi^{-1}S_i \cap \pi S_i^*) \quad \text{for } i \geq 0.$$

---

Silverberg would like to thank NSA, NSF, and the Science Scholars Fellowship Program at the Bunting Institute for financial support. Zarhin would like to thank NSF for financial support.

Then  $S_i$  is a  $G$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice in  $V$ ,  $f(S_i, S_i) = \mathcal{O}$ , and  $S_i \subseteq S_{i+1} \subseteq S_{i+1}^* \subseteq S_i^*$ . Note that  $S_{i+1} = S_i$  if and only if  $\pi S_i^* \subseteq S_i$ . We have

$$S = S_0 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S^*.$$

Since  $S^*/S$  is finite, we have  $S_j = S_{j+1}$  for some  $j$ . Let  $T = S_j$ . Then  $T$  is a  $G$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice in  $V$  such that  $f(T, T) = \mathcal{O}$  and  $\lambda T^* = \pi T^* \subseteq T$ .

Let  $\bar{f} : T/\lambda T \times T/\lambda T \rightarrow k$  be the reduction of  $f$  modulo  $\lambda$ . Then  $\ker(\bar{f}) = \lambda T^*/\lambda T \cong T^*/T$ . Clearly,  $\bar{f}$  is nondegenerate on  $(T/\lambda T)/\ker(\bar{f}) \cong T/\lambda T^*$ . On  $T^* \times T^*$ , the form  $\pi f$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -valued. Let  $\tilde{f}$  denote the reduction modulo  $\lambda$  of the restriction of  $\pi f$  to  $T^* \times T^*$ . Since  $(T^*)^* = T$ , we have  $\ker(\tilde{f}) = T/\lambda T^*$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{f}$  is nondegenerate on  $(T^*/\lambda T^*)/(T/\lambda T^*) \cong T^*/T \cong \ker(\bar{f})$ . We thus obtain a homomorphism

$$\psi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k((T/\lambda T)/\ker(\bar{f}), \bar{f}) \times \text{Aut}_k(\ker(\tilde{f}), \tilde{f}) \cong$$

$$\text{Aut}_k(T/\lambda T^*, \bar{f}) \times \text{Aut}_k(T^*/T, \tilde{f}) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_k(T^*/\lambda T^*, \bar{f} \times \tilde{f}) \cong \text{Aut}_k(k^N, f_0)$$

for an appropriate pairing  $f_0$ . All the elements  $\sigma \in \ker(\psi)$  act as the identity on  $T/\lambda T^*$  and on  $T^*/T$ , and thus  $(\sigma - 1)^2 T^* \subseteq \lambda T^*$ . Proposition 1 implies  $\psi$  is injective. Let  $\bar{\rho} = \psi$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.** If one is concerned only with preserving the characteristic polynomials, and does not insist that  $\bar{\rho}$  be an embedding, then in the symplectic case the above result can instead be achieved with the aid of Proposition 8 of [1], rather than Proposition 1 above.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose  $\ell$  is a prime number, and  $L$  is a discrete valuation field of characteristic zero and residue characteristic  $\ell$ . Suppose  $K$  is a quadratic extension of  $L$ , let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote its valuation ring, let  $\lambda$  denote the maximal ideal, and let  $k = \mathcal{O}/\lambda$ . Let  $e$  denote the ramification index of  $K$ , and suppose  $2e < \ell - 1$ . Suppose  $V$  is a  $K$ -vector space of finite dimension  $N$ , suppose  $f : V \times V \rightarrow K$  is a nondegenerate pairing which is hermitian (respectively, skew-hermitian) with respect to the extension  $K/L$ , suppose  $G$  is a finite group, and suppose  $\rho : G \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V, f)$  is a faithful representation. Suppose  $K/L$  is unramified and thus  $k$  is a quadratic extension of the residue field  $k_L$  of  $L$ . Then there exist a nondegenerate  $k$ -valued pairing  $f_0$  on  $k^N$  which is hermitian (respectively, skew-hermitian) with respect to the extension  $k/k_L$ , and a faithful representation  $\bar{\rho} : G \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_k(k^N, f_0)$ , such that for every  $g \in G$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $\bar{\rho}(g)$  is the reduction modulo  $\lambda$  of the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho(g)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  denote a uniformizer for  $L$ . Then  $\pi$  is also a uniformizer for  $K$ , and the proof is a repetition of the proof of Theorem 2. The reductions  $\bar{f}$  and  $\tilde{f}$  are now hermitian (respectively, skew-hermitian).  $\square$

**Remark 5.** In the setting of Theorem 4, suppose now that  $K/L$  is ramified. Then  $k_L = k$ , and one can write  $K = L(\sqrt{D})$  where  $D$  is a uniformizer for  $L$  and  $\pi = \sqrt{D}$  is a uniformizer for  $K$ . Let  $S$  be a  $G$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice in  $V$ . Then  $\pi^r f(S, S) = \mathcal{O}$  for some integer  $r$ . If  $r$  is even then  $\pi^r f$  is hermitian (respectively, skew-hermitian) and its reduction is symmetric (respectively, alternating). Further,  $\pi^{r+1} f$  is skew-hermitian (respectively, hermitian) and its reduction is alternating (respectively, symmetric). If  $r$  is odd, then  $\pi^r f$  is skew-hermitian (respectively, hermitian) and

its reduction is alternating (respectively, symmetric). Further,  $\pi^{r+1}f$  is hermitian (respectively, skew-hermitian) and its reduction is symmetric (respectively, alternating). In all cases one can proceed as in Theorem 2 and obtain an embedding of  $G$  into a product of an orthogonal group  $O_s(k)$  and a symplectic group  $Sp_{n-s}(k)$ , which “respects” the characteristic polynomials.

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose  $F$  is a field of characteristic not equal to 2 and  $f : F^2 \times F^2 \rightarrow F$  is a nondegenerate symmetric pairing. Let  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ . Then  $f$  is not  $g$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{u, v\}$  denote the standard basis of  $F^2$  over  $F$ . Suppose  $f$  is  $g$ -invariant. Then  $f(u, v) = f(gu, gv) = f(u, u+v)$ , i.e.,  $f(u, u) = 0$ . Also,  $f(v, v) = f(gv, gv) = f(u+v, u+v) = f(v, v) + 2f(u, v)$ , since  $f$  is symmetric. Since  $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 2$ , we have  $f(u, v) = 0$ . Therefore  $f(u, w) = 0$  for every  $w \in F^2$ , contradicting the nondegeneracy of  $f$ .  $\square$

Let  $Q_8$  denote the quaternion group of order 8. Let  $\zeta_\ell$  denote a primitive  $\ell$ -th root of unity. The next two results show that the condition  $2e < \ell - 1$  in Theorem 2 is sharp, in both the symmetric and alternating cases.

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $\ell$  be an odd prime number, let  $K = \mathbf{Q}_\ell(\zeta_\ell + \zeta_\ell^{-1})$ , let  $V$  be  $\mathbf{Q}_\ell(\zeta_\ell)$  viewed as a 2-dimensional  $K$ -vector space, and let  $G = \mu_\ell$ . Then there exists a nondegenerate symmetric  $K$ -bilinear form  $f : V \times V \rightarrow K$  such that there is a faithful irreducible representation  $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_K(V, f)$ . However, if  $F$  is a field of characteristic  $\ell$  (in particular, if  $F = \mathbf{F}_\ell$ ), then there does not exist a nondegenerate symmetric  $F$ -bilinear form  $f_0 : F^2 \times F^2 \rightarrow F$  such that  $G$  embeds in  $\mathrm{Aut}_F(F^2, f_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M = \mathbf{Q}_\ell(\zeta_\ell)$ , and let  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  denote the nontrivial automorphism of  $M$  over  $K$ . Define  $f$  by  $f(x, y) = \mathrm{tr}_{M/K}(x\bar{y})$ . The desired injection is given by sending  $\zeta_\ell$  to multiplication by  $\zeta_\ell$ . The nonexistence of  $f_0$  follows from Lemma 6, since if  $g$  is an element of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  of order  $\ell$ , then there exists a basis of  $F^2$  with respect to which  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $\ell$  be an odd prime number, let  $K = \mathbf{Q}_\ell(\zeta_\ell + \zeta_\ell^{-1})$ , let  $V$  be  $\mathbf{Q}_\ell(\zeta_\ell)^2$  viewed as a 4-dimensional  $K$ -vector space, and let  $G = Q_8 \times \mu_\ell$ . Then there exist a nondegenerate alternating  $K$ -bilinear form  $f : V \times V \rightarrow K$  and a faithful irreducible representation  $\rho : G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_K(V, f)$  such that there do not exist a field  $F$  of characteristic  $\ell$ , a nondegenerate alternating  $F$ -valued  $F$ -bilinear form  $f_0$  on  $F^4$ , and a faithful representation  $\bar{\rho} : G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_F(F^4, f_0)$ , having the property that for every  $g \in G$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $\bar{\rho}(g)$  is the reduction of the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho(g)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M = \mathbf{Q}_\ell(\zeta_\ell)$ , let  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  denote the nontrivial automorphism of  $M$  over  $K$ , and let  $V_2$  be  $M$  viewed as a 2-dimensional  $K$ -vector space. Then  $V = V_2 \times V_2 = \mathbf{Q}_\ell^2 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} V_2$ . Let  $D_2$  denote the quaternion algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$  ramified exactly at 2 and infinity. Then  $Q_8$  is a subgroup of  $D_2^\times$ . The isomorphism  $D_2 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \cong M_2(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$  induces a natural, absolutely irreducible, faithful representation  $\rho_1 : Q_8 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_\ell) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell^2, f_1)$  where  $f_1$  is the standard alternating pairing on  $\mathbf{Q}_\ell^2$ . The natural action of  $\mu_\ell$  on  $V_2$  respects the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$f_2 : V_2 \times V_2 \rightarrow K$  defined by  $f_2(x, y) = \text{tr}_{M/K}(x\bar{y})$ . We thus obtain a faithful representation  $\rho_2 : \mu_\ell \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V_2, f_2)$ . Let  $\rho$  be the representation

$$\rho : G = Q_8 \times \mu_\ell \hookrightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_\ell) \times \text{Aut}_K(V_2, f_2) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V, f)$$

defined by  $\rho(a, b) = \rho_1(a) \otimes \rho_2(b)$ , where  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$ . For every element  $\sigma \in Q_8 \subset G$  of order 4, the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho(\sigma)$  is  $(t^2 + 1)^2$ . For the element  $-1 \in Q_8 \subset G$  of order 2, the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho(-1)$  is  $(t + 1)^4$ .

Suppose there exist a field  $F$  of characteristic  $\ell$ , a nondegenerate  $F$ -valued alternating pairing  $f_0$  on  $F^4$ , and a faithful representation  $\bar{\rho} : G \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_F(F^4, f_0)$  such that the characteristic polynomial of  $\bar{\rho}(\sigma)$  is  $(t^2 + 1)^2$  for every  $\sigma \in Q_8$  of order 4 and the characteristic polynomial of  $\bar{\rho}(-1)$  is  $(t + 1)^4$ . Let  $V_0$  denote the corresponding faithful symplectic  $F[G]$ -module. Since  $\#Q_8$  is not divisible by  $\ell$ ,  $V_0$  is a semisimple  $F[Q_8]$ -module. By choosing a suitable basis we may assume that  $\rho_1(Q_8) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ . The composition of  $\rho_1$  with the reduction map gives a faithful representation  $\bar{\rho}_1 : Q_8 \hookrightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_\ell) \subseteq \text{SL}_2(F)$ . The corresponding  $F[Q_8]$ -module  $W$  is absolutely simple and symplectic. By Schur's Lemma, every  $Q_8$ -invariant bilinear form on  $W$  is alternating. Since  $\bar{\rho}_1 \oplus \bar{\rho}_1$  and the restriction of  $\bar{\rho}$  to  $Q_8$  give rise to the same characteristic polynomials, the semisimple  $F[Q_8]$ -modules  $V_0$  and  $W \oplus W$  are isomorphic. Since  $\text{End}_{Q_8}(W) = F$ , we have  $\text{End}_{Q_8}(V_0) = M_2(F)$ . Fix a generator  $c$  of  $\mu_\ell$ . Then  $c$  is an element of  $\text{End}_{Q_8}(V_0)$  of multiplicative order  $\ell$ . We can therefore identify  $V_0$  with  $W \oplus W$  in such a way that  $c(x, y) = (x + y, y)$  for every  $(x, y) \in W \oplus W = V_0$ . As in the proof of Lemma 6, for  $x, y \in W$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_0((x, 0), (0, y)) &= f_0(c(x, 0), c(0, y)) = f_0((x, 0), (y, y)) = \\ &= f_0((x, 0), (0, y)) + f_0((x, 0), (y, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(1) \quad f_0((x, 0), (y, 0)) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in W.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} f_0((0, x), (0, y)) &= f_0(c(0, x), c(0, y)) = f_0((x, x), (y, y)) = \\ &= f_0((0, x), (0, y)) + f_0((x, 0), (y, 0)) + f_0((x, 0), (0, y)) + f_0((0, x), (y, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f_0((x, 0), (0, y)) + f_0((0, x), (y, 0)) = 0$ . Since  $f_0$  is alternating,

$$(2) \quad f_0((x, 0), (0, y)) = -f_0((0, x), (y, 0)) = f_0((y, 0), (0, x)).$$

Define  $h : W \times W \rightarrow F$  by  $h(x, y) = f_0((x, 0), (0, y))$ . By (2),  $h$  is symmetric. Since  $f_0$  is  $Q_8$ -invariant, so is  $h$ . The nondegeneracy of  $h$  follows from (1) and the nondegeneracy of  $f_0$ . Since  $h$  is a  $Q_8$ -invariant pairing on  $W$ , it is alternating. Since  $h$  is both alternating and symmetric we have  $h = 0$ , giving a contradiction.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Serre, *Exemples de plongements des groupes  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$  dans des groupes de Lie simples*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), 525–562.
- [2] A. Silverberg, Yu. G. Zarhin, *Variations on a theme of Minkowski and Serre*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **111** (1996), 285–302.
- [3] A. Silverberg, Yu. G. Zarhin, *Symplectic representations of inertia groups*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210, USA

*E-mail address:* `silver@math.ohio-state.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA  
16802, USA

*E-mail address:* `zarhin@math.psu.edu`